

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2002 PROJECT SUMMARY

Nome(a)	Duciest Number
Name(s)	Project Number
Stacey L. Bradford	S1603
	51005
Project Title	
Oaks "R" Us	
Objectives/Goals Abstract	
I wanted to see if they were certain patterns that the leaves were shaped in or if	there was a characteristic
difference in the leaves that defined the interior live oak.	
Methods/Materials Materials	
leaf samples, leaf press, binders, a digital camera, adn a compass.	
Procedure Collect samples from 18 different interior live oak trees and press them. Then compare the samles and try	
to find an outlier in the morphologies.	
Results	
My hypothesis was correct. My hypothesis was that there were too many environmental variables involved with the trees for there to be one defining characteristic of the interior live oak leaves.	
Conclusions/Discussion	
Conclusion	
There are too many environmental variables involved for the interior live oak to be able to follow its own pattern. By affecting the trees directly, the variables affect the leaf morphologies and the number of leaves	
on each tree. The margin for errors is too wide for nature to allow the trees to for	
Summary Statement	
My project was about the different leaf morphologies interior live oak trees.	
Help Received	

Mr. Montgomery helped me decide what I should do my project on.I wanted to see if they were certain patterns that the leaves were shaped in or if there was a characteristic difference in the leaves that defined the interior live oak. I didn't find one, but my hypothesis was correct. My hypothesis was that there were