

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2007 PROJECT SUMMARY

| Name(s) | Project Number |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Christopher W. Weddington | J1849 |
| | J1043 |
| Project Title | |
| Drip Emitter Performance | |
| | |
| Abstract | |
| Objectives/Goals The objective is to determine if the 2004 field emitters used on our farm still pe | erform well in water output |
| and uniformity. New emitters from 2005 and 2006 were also tested. All three s | |
| compared against industry standards for water output in gallons per hour (gph) | and uniformity (coefficient |
| of variation, CV). Methods/Materials | |
| 25 in-line emitters in 4 inch segments from each of 2004, 2005, and 2006 years were connected in series | |
| and attached to a water supply system. Individual emitter water output was collected in graduated cylinders for 4 minutes, at a pressure of 20 pounds per square inch. The gph and CV were calculated. | |
| Results were compared to industry standards. | d C V were calculated. |
| Results | |
| All emitters delivered water output less than the manufacturer published standard. Used 2004 emitters had the lowest gph. New 2006 emitters, and used 2004 emitters, were uniform. New 2005 emitters were not | |
| uniform. None of the emitters rated excellent according to the industry standard | |
| Conclusions/Discussion The used emitters had the lowest water output, but it is still acceptable and the | farmer can irrigate longer |
| to compensate. The used emitters had good uniformity and did not need to be r | eplaced. Results showed |
| there is too much variability in the new emitters, indicating a need for better qu | |
| manufacturing process. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Summary Statement | |
| Used and new drip emitter performance is evaluated and compared to industry | standards. |
| | |
| Help Received | |
| Used university lab equipment under supervision of parents. Mother reviewed | mathematical calculations. |