



Name(s)	Project Number
Riley W. Pannkuk	11710
	J1/10
D	
Predicting Phenomenon	
Abstract	
Objectives/Goals	
compares to expert judgment	suracy, and now a group#s average
Methods/Materials	
Four containers were filled with beads, jolly rancher candies,	and marbles, and fifty people from a
shopping center were asked to randomly guess how many of e	ach type of item was in each container. I
then asked a math teacher to use a measuring method of their choice to determine the number of items in the containers. I performed three trials with the merbles, jolly reacher condies, and the merbles, with the	
same expert each time and different people each time.	
Results	
Surprisingly, the results turned out that the #group accuracy#	was not accurate in some trials. In the bead
trials, the group average was surprisingly less accurate on the low side than the expert, but in the Jolly	
Rancher Candles that the group was extremely accurate in sor	group as shown in the bead trial as opposed
to the group#s judgment of the smaller number of Jolly Ranch	er Candies which was extremely close.
Conclusions/Discussion	
My conclusion is that an expert is best used when there is a wi	de range of possibilities and a large group#s
averaged judgment should be used when there is a smaller range. The shape of the object also makes a difference, because the regular shape of the marble makes it easier to predict how many can fit in a	
container, versus an irregular object such as the jolly rancher candy.	
Summary Statement	
The study of whether or not the average of a group is more accurate than an expert using a method to find	
an answer to a problem.	
Help Received	
My science teacher held meetings and help sessions for the co	unty fair; UCSB students came and gave us
suggestions for the boards.	