



**CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR  
2005 PROJECT SUMMARY**

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Name(s)</b><br>Chase W. Raymond  | <b>Project Number</b><br><b>S1214</b> |
| <b>Project Title</b><br><b>The Origins of Pi</b>  |                                       |
| <b>Abstract</b><br><b>Objectives/Goals</b><br>What are some of the different methods for calculating the irrational decimal place values of the constant, Pi (d)? Is any method more accurate or efficient than others?<br><b>Methods/Materials</b><br>Beaker Marble Yarn<br>Graphing Calculator Ruler Toothpicks<br><br>Procedure:<br>Methods to be Analyzed:<br>· Spherical Method<br>· Buffon's Needle Experiment<br>· Monte Carlo Method (Quarter Circle)<br>· Arctangent Infinite Series<br>· Wallis' Formula<br>· Newtonian Fluxions<br><br>Calculate Percentage Error for the different methods and analyze which approaches the constant value of Pi (d) most rapidly and accurately.<br><b>Results</b><br>Wallis' Formula provided the most accurate calculation approaching the value of Pi (d). The real resultant from this data, however, is that no method or number can represent or calculate Pi's (d) exact value, except Pi (d), itself.<br><b>Conclusions/Discussion</b><br>We, in the real world, must decide the amount of precision we are going to use on a given project (ie: the building of a bridge) in order to accept something as perfect 'enough' to accept its usage. |                                       |
| <b>Summary Statement</b><br>I looked at different methods of calculating Pi's decimal places and tested which approached Pi's true value fastest.   |                                       |
| <b>Help Received</b>  |                                       |