

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2014 PROJECT SUMMARY

| Name(s) | Project Number |
|--|------------------------------|
| | Troject Number |
| Eleanor O. Frost | |
| | / / |
| | 34602 |
| Project Title | 0 |
| Increasing Power Output by Reducing the Windmill Blade Tip Vortex | |
| | |
| | |
| Objectives/Cools Abstract | |
| Objectives/Goals As the blade of a horizontal axis windmill passes through the air, air pressure care. | dates Iff which improves |
| blade performance. However, air pressure forms a vortex at the tip, reducing p | wer output. A blade tip |
| winglet can reduce the size of the vortex. (Xia 2013) My hypothesis is that the | airfeils with winglets will |
| produce greater electrical output than the airfoils without winglet |) |
| Methods/Materials | |
| To test my hypothesis, I used a windmill and wind tunted design inspired by a | 2009 US Department of |
| Energy Report. The set up was similar to that used by Birch and Wilson in their I tested 2 inch and 5 inch, Flat Bottomed and Symmetric blades with and 1 tho | r 2013 study of the vortex. |
| I tested 2 inch and 5 inch, Flat Bottomed and Symmetric blages with and Witho | ut a winglet, at static |
| angles from 5 to 15 degrees. The winglet was made of balsa wood and added to added to the non-winglet blade so the blades were the same weight and had the | same rotational inertia |
| The construction of the blades was inspired by a 2010 Sandia Labs paper, public | shed in conjunction with |
| the USDOE Office of Renewable Energy. I recorded 40 observations for each | test. I averaged the results |
| and calculated the standard deviation for each test. I calculated at test statistic | to see if the results were |
| statistically significant to a 95% confidence level | |
| Results | 0.504.1 1 6 |
| My analysis of the experimental data shows that my hypothetis could not be su | pported to a 95% level of |
| winglet but the results were not statisfically stanificant. The 2 inch flat 10 deg | rea static angle test |
| analysis showed that the blade without the wingle produced more power to a c | onfidence level of just over |
| My analysis of the experimental data shows that my hypothesis could not be supported to a 95% level of confidence. For the 2 inch blades, the tests without the winglet produced more power than those with the winglet but the results were not statistically significant. The 2 inch flat, 10 degree static angle test analysis showed that the blade without the winglet produced more power to a confidence level of just over 90%, suggesting that any benefit created by the winglet was overcome by additional drag. For the 5 inch flat blades, set to a static angles of 5 and 10 degrees the blades with the winglet produced more power, however these results are not statistically significant. | |
| flat blades, set to a static angles of 5 and 10 degrees, the blades with the wingle | t produced more power, |
| nowever these results are not statistically significant. | |
| Conclusions/Discussion | |
| The test results generally suggest that any benefit from the winglet was overcome winglet. The 2013 winglet study by Xiu suggests there needs to be a match bet | ne by drag added by the |
| the design of the winglet. In future work, I would like to model the local flow a | nd batter metab the design |
| of the winglet to that local flow. | nd better maten the design |
| of the winglet to that food from | |
| | |
| | |
| Summary Statement | |
| Environmental Science | |
| | |
| | |
| Help Received | |
| Professor Farhat was very encouraging and supportive. | |
| | |
| | |