

CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2016 PROJECT SUMMARY

| Name(s) | Project Number |
|--|--|
| Philip M. Felizarta | |
| | |
| | 36101 |
| Project Title | |
| A Climate Model for Predicting Global Mean Temperature Anomalies | |
| in chinace foreit for i realering Grobal freun remper | |
| | |
| Abstract | |
| Objectives/Goals The objective of this study is to confirm the correlation between CO2 concentration | tion and average global |
| temperature and to create a simple climate model that can accurately predict an | ual global mean |
| temperature anomalies. Methods/Materials | \smile |
| Berkeley Earth was the source of data for actual annual global mean emperate | re anomalies from 1955 to |
| Berkeley Earth was the source of data for actual annual global mean temperate 1999. The climate model was designed by incorporating CO2, volcano and so cycle. Mean annual atmospheric CO2 concentrations were obtained from the 5 | ar forcing with the ENSO |
| cycle. Mean annual atmospheric CO2 concentrations were obtained from the 5 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Yearly mean support | th Assessment Report of |
| from the National Geophysical Data Center. The list of the largest volcanic en | uptions and historical |
| ENSO Index values were obtained from the National Weather Service/NOAA. | The computed values |
| were compared to the actual temperature anomalies to retermine accuracy. The used to predict the temperature anomalies from 2000 in 2015 and from 2016 to | e 1955-1999 model was 2100 with different |
| climate change mitigation scenarios. | |
| Results | |
| The CO2 forcing is the only factor to closely parallel the overall increasing trend of the actual temperature anomalies. However, the combined effects of the natural forcings nearly match the fluctuations of the | |
| temperature anomalies. | |
| The forecast for 2000-2015 in terms of the sunspot number, ONI and CO2 emission were accurate when compared to the actual data. It also predicted an apparent slowdown in the warming trend from 2000 to | |
| 2014. | ming trend from 2000 to |
| According to the model, the mean global temperature will increase by 5°C (9°F | F) in 2100 with absence of |
| According to the model, the mean global temperature will increase by $5^{\circ}C$ (9°F) in 2100 with absence of climate change mitigation; it will increase by $4^{\circ}C$ (7°F) with existing mitigation and 2°C (3.6°F) with existing mitigation. | |
| substantial mitigation. Conclusions/Discussion | |
| Natural forces including sun, valczboes and the El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) have strong short | |
| term effects on global temperature. However, the long warming trend is driven predominantly by atmospheric CO2 concentration. The apparent slowdown in global warming from 2000 to 2014 can be | |
| explained by the cyclical pattern of the ENSO. | 0111 2000 to 2014 can be |
| | |
| Summary Statement | |
| A climate model incorporating carbon dioxide and natural forces can accurately | v predict annual global |
| mean temperature anomalies. | , prodict unitali grobul |
| | |
| Help Received | |
| none | |
| | |
| | |
| | |