

## CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2016 PROJECT SUMMARY

Name(s)	Project Number
Olivia H. Pierson	
	36644
Project Title	(2)
Can I Make Rocket Fins More Efficient?	
	$\sim$ . $O$
6	
Abstract	
Objectives/Goals	
Phase 1 - Would differently shaped fins affect rocket performance?	
Phase 2 - Methodology improvements to validate results and improve upon star With surprising results from Phase 1, I need to retest my project with more team	hard tocket kit lins.
accuracy, and better fin precision.	iches, more apogee
Methods/Materials	7
	ncy.
Phase 1 - For my project, I measured rocket apogee as my metric for fin efficie I built 24 rockets to account for duplicates, lost, and damage rockets. Four and	shaped seven fin shapes
from balsa wood, including a replica of the Estes kit cardboard transcroud-shape	d fin.
Using different fin materials (balsa vs. the rocket kit cardboard), five ud need t	o create Baseline data as
well as Control data for my project.	served the anogen tengent
Standing 30 and 60 meters north and south of the lauron site, my measurers observed the apogee tangent angle. I launched each shape twice, then averaged measurements to apogee.	
Phase 2 - During Phase 1 Lobserved interesting trends but I feel that I require more data points (launches)	
Phase 2 - During Phase 1 I observed interesting trends, but I feel that I require more data points (launches) per fin type, more precise altitude measurements, and more precisely shaped fins. I am now testing using rocket kits that carry a small altimeter. I will require more powerful motors to	
I am now testing using rocket kits that carry a small altimeter. I will require mo	re powerful motors to
generate the speed and altitude to differentiate between the types.	1
Results	
Phase 1 - My results disproved my hypothesis that an S-shaped fin would be mo	ost efficient
Phase 1 - My results disproved my hypothesis that an S-shaped fin would be most efficient The half circle balsa fin went highest of my test fins, only 1% less than the Estes cardboard fin, but far above all other test fins including my Control trapezora balsa fin.	
Though my calculations were accurate, my Santa Chaz County judges advised me to develop a more	
precise apogee methodology.	
Phase 2 - Phase 2 rocket building is in process so no results yet.	
Conclusions/Discussion	
In Phase 1, my Control trapezoid basa finihunched lowest of all fins. This lead shapes would improve if Lase Estes-thickness cardboard.	ls me to believe that all fin
shapes would improve if I are Estes-thickness cardboard.	
However, my goal is to improve upon the standard kit fins. Can I create a fin shape that is better than a standard kit shape or do I improve u	mon the standard Estas bit
fin by creating an antior cross section on my balsa fins?	ipon the standard Estes Kit
The by creating an an of coss certain on my barsa mis.	
Summary Statement	
I suspect that the standard shape rocket fin that comes with rocket kits might no	t be the most effective; my
preliminary results indicate that a half-circle fin shape could be very efficient, a	s measured by apogee.
Holp Dessived	
Help Received	
My Science teacher helped refine my scientific methods and my Math teacher i trigonometry so that I could use tangent angles for my apogee calculations.	ntroduced me to
urgonomeny so mai i could use langent angles for my apogee calculations.	