

## CALIFORNIA STATE SCIENCE FAIR 2016 PROJECT SUMMARY

Name(s)	Project Number
Anna J. Lou	
	36887
Project Title	
Closing the Achievement Gap in STEM: Effect of Prior/Rhowledge on	
Guidance in Technology-Based Student-Centered Learning	
Abstract	
Objectives/Goals Abstract	
As the United States is falling behind in STEM education and struggling with t	achevement gap, there
have been many practical obstacles concerning teachers and existing and timed	in tools in implementing
research-recommended guidance in student-centered learning (SCL) into classification	ooms. Based on the
Cognitive Load Theory and meta-analytic findings. I developed as innovative	web-based instructional
tool that combined expertise from educational research and best eaching pract obstacles and enhance Guided-SCL in chemistry. My objectives were to (a) co	ces to overcome these
obstacles and enhance Guided-SCL in chemistry. My objectives were to (a) co	npare Guided-SCL's short
and long term effectiveness with other approaches such as traditional Direct has	struction (DI) and Khan
Academy, (b) examine Guided-SCL's short and long term effects on the addiev students with low prior knowledge $(I K)$ and high prior knowledge $(K)$ and	a) investigate how
students with low prior knowledge (LK) and high prior knowledge (HK) and ( prior-knowledge level would influence the guidance effects (prior-knowledge a	c) investigate now
feedback effect) of Guided-SCL on learning outcome	lettvation effect and
Results	
College students (N = 185) were randomly assigned to (wided-SQ), or either o	f two control groups and
were given pre-posttests and one month follow-up tests, resulting in the following key findings: (a)	
were given pre-posttests and one month follow-up tests, resulting in the following key findings: (a) Guided-SCL significantly outperformed traditional direct instruction ( $d = 1.60, 262\%$ increase) and Khan	
Academy (d = 1.42, 185% increase) (with long/term exects; (b) Guided-SCL fully closed the achievement	
gap between students with low prior knowledge (LK) and High prior knowledge (HK) for both conceptual	
and procedural knowledge; (c) Consistent with the Expertise Reversal Effect, prior-knowledge activation	
significantly benefited LK ( $d = 0.84$ ) both in the short term and the long term, -0.46); (d) Feedback timing (inmediate vs. delayed) made no difference for LE	but narmed HK ( $d =$
explanatory feedback was also provided.	
Conclusions/Discussion	
This novel work in chemistry classrooms provides the greatly-needed empirical data supporting guidance in SCL and contributes new insight owards more effective and adaptive instructional designs, as well as	
in SCL and contributes new inkight rewards more effective and adaptive instructional designs, as well as	
towards existing research in learning theories. By translating research into practice, this study	
demonstrates the great potential dusing in ovative research-based technology to close the achievement	
gap and improve STEM equeation in the United States.	
Summary Statement	
My study is the first of systematically implement research-recommended guida	
study the influence of prior knowledge on guidance effects, demonstrating the research-based technology.	great potential of
research-based to mitoregy.	
Help Received	
Dr. Susanne Jaeggi (School of Education at UCI) and Dr. James Li provided m	e with professional
feedback and valuable advice. Five chemistry teachers and professors gave inp	
instructional tool. Seven additional chemistry professors provided subjects in the	